PROGRESS IN (SUBJECT), YEARS 12-13

Grade	Mark /20	AO1: AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.	AO2: Analyse and evaluate appropriate source material, primary and/or contemporary to the period, within its historical context.	AO3: Analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, different ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted.
A* is av	varded to	idents who are able to consistently achieve 18-20 marks in assessments across all assessment objectives		
Α	17-20	 Key issues relevant to the question are explored by a sustained analysis of the relationships between key features of the period. Sufficient knowledge is deployed to demonstrate understanding of the demands and conceptual focus of the question, and to respond fully to its demands. Valid criteria by which the question can be judged are established and applied and their relative significance evaluated in the process of reaching and substantiating the overall judgement. The answer is well organised. The argument is logical and coherent throughout and is communicated with clarity and precision. 	 Interrogates the evidence of both sources with confidence and discrimination, making reasoned inferences and showing a range of ways the material can be used, for example by distinguishing between information and claim or opinion. Deploys knowledge of the historical context to illuminate and/ or discuss the limitations of what can be gained from the content of the source material, displaying secure understanding of the need to interpret source material in the context of the values and concerns of the society from which it is drawn. Evaluation of the source material uses valid criteria which are justified and fully applied. Evaluation takes into account the weight the evidence will bear as part of coming to a judgement and, where appropriate, distinguishes between the degree of certainty with which aspects of it can be used as the basis for claims. 	 Interprets the extracts with confidence and discrimination, analysing the issues raised and demonstrating understanding of the basis of arguments offered by both authors. Integrates issues raised by extracts with those from own knowledge when discussing the presented evidence and differing arguments. Presents sustained evaluative argument, reaching fully substantiated judgements on the views given in both extracts and demonstrating understanding of the nature of historical debate.

B C	13-16	 Key issues relevant to the question are explored by an analysis of the relationships between key features of the period, although treatment of issues may be uneven. Sufficient knowledge is deployed to demonstrate understanding of the demands and conceptual focus of the question and to meet most of its demands. Valid criteria by which the question can be judged are established and applied in the process of coming to a judgement. Although some of the evaluations may be only partly substantiated, the overall judgement is supported. The answer is generally well organised. The argument is logical and is communicated with clarity, although in a few places it may lack coherence and precision. 	 Analyses the source material, interrogating the evidence to make reasoned inferences and to show a range of ways the material can be used, for example by distinguishing between information and claim or opinion, although treatment of the two sources may be uneven. Deploys knowledge of the historical context to illuminate and/or discuss the limitations of what can be gained from the content of the source material, displaying some understanding of the need to interpret source material in the context of the values and concerns of the society from which it is drawn. Evaluation of the source material uses valid criteria which are justified and applied, although some of the evaluation may be weakly substantiated. Evaluation takes into account the weight the evidence will bear as part of coming to a judgement. 	 Demonstrates understanding of the extracts, analysing the issues of interpretation raised within them and by comparison of them. Integrates issues raised by extracts with those from own knowledge to discuss the views. Most of the relevant aspects of the debate will be discussed, although treatment of some aspects may lack depth. Discusses evidence provided in the extracts in order to reach a supported overall judgement. Discussion of points of view in the extracts demonstrates understanding that the issues are matters of interpretation.
D E	8-12	 There is some analysis of, and attempt to explain links between, the relevant key features of the period and the question, although descriptive passages may be included. Mostly accurate and relevant knowledge is included to demonstrate some understanding of the demands and conceptual focus of the question, but material lacks range or depth. Attempts are made to establish criteria for judgement and to relate the overall judgement to them, although with weak substantiation. The answer shows some organisation. The general trend of the argument is clear, but parts of it lack logic, coherence and precision. 	 Demonstrates understanding of the source material and shows some analysis by selecting key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences. Deploys knowledge of the historical context to explain or support inferences as well as to expand, confirm or challenge matters of detail. Evaluation of the source material is related to the specified enquiry and explanation of utility takes into account relevant considerations such as nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author. Judgements are based on valid criteria but with limited justification. 	 Demonstrates understanding of the extracts, analysing the issues of interpretation raised within them and by comparison of them. Integrates issues raised by extracts with those from own knowledge to discuss the views. Most of the relevant aspects of the debate will be discussed, although treatment of some aspects may lack depth. Discusses evidence provided in the extracts in order to reach a supported overall judgement. Discussion of points of view in the extracts demonstrates understanding that the issues are matters of interpretation.

4-7	There is limited analysis of some key	Demonstrates some understanding and	Demonstrates understanding of the extracts			
	features of the period relevant to the	attempts analysis of the source material by	and shows some analysis by selecting and			
	question, but descriptive passages are	selecting and summarising information and	explaining some key points of interpretation			
	included that are not clearly shown to relate	making undeveloped inferences relevant to the	they contain and indicating differences.			
	to the focus of the question.	question.	Knowledge of some issues related to the			
	 Mostly accurate and relevant knowledge is 	Contextual knowledge is added to information	debate is included to link to, or expand, some			
	included, but lacks range or depth and has	from the source material to expand, confirm or	views given in the extracts.			
	only implicit links to the demands and	challenge matters of detail.	A judgement is given and related to some key			
	conceptual focus of the question.	Evaluation of the source material is related to	points of view in the extracts and discussion is			
	 An overall judgement is given but with 	the specified enquiry but with limited support for	attempted, albeit with limited substantiation.			
	limited substantiation and the criteria for	judgement. Concepts of reliability or utility are				
	judgement are left implicit.	addressed mainly by noting aspects of source				
	The answer shows some attempts at	provenance and judgements may be based on				
	organisation, but most of the answer is lacking	questionable assumptions.				
	in coherence, clarity and precision.					
1-3	Simple or generalised statements are made	Demonstrates surface level comprehension of	Demonstrates only limited comprehension of			
	about the topic.	the source material without analysis, selecting	the extracts, selecting some material relevant to			
	Some accurate and relevant knowledge is	some material relevant to the question, but in	the debate. • Some relevant contextual			
	included, but it lacks range and depth and	the form of direct quotations or paraphrases.	knowledge is included, with limited linkage to			
	does not directly address the question.	Some relevant contextual knowledge is	the extracts.			
	The overall judgement is missing or	included, with limited linkage to the source	Judgement on the view is assertive, with little			
	asserted.	material.	or no supporting evidence.			
	There is little, if any, evidence of attempts to	Evaluation of the source material is assertive				
	structure the answer, and the answer overall	with little or no supporting evidence. Concepts of				
	lacks coherence and precision.	reliability or utility may be addressed, but by				
		making stereotypical judgements.				
O-AHABA						